Case 4:23-cr-00081-JST Document 30 Filed 04/11/23 Page 1 of 1

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

APR 1 1 2023

	OAKLAND DIVI	SION	CLERK, U.S. DISTRIC
United States of America,)	Case No. 23 - CV	OAKLAND OFFI
Plaintiff, v. Samuel Valencia-Gon Defendant(s).	7662	STIPULATED ORDE UNDER THE SPEED	R EXCLUDING TIME Y TRIAL ACT
Defendant(s).)		
For the reasons stated by the parties on the Trial Act from April 1,703 to Apronounce outweigh the best interest of the 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding	record on Min n 28, 2023 he public and th	ne defendant in a speedy	trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuan See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)		ely to result in a miscarr	iage of justice.
The case is so unusual or so defendants, the naturor law, that it is unreasonabitself within the time limits	re of the prosecu ble to expect ade	ation, or the existence quate preparation for pro-	ence of novel questions of fact etrial proceedings or the trial
Failure to grant a continuan taking into account the exer	•		
	ase commitmen		ant continuity of counsel, given ne exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuan necessary for effective prep See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	aration, taking		
	es, the court sets the parties' show inary hearing un period for an inc	the preliminary hearing wing of good cause — fi ader Federal Rule of Crir dictment under the Speed	to the date set forth in the first inds good cause for extending minal Procedure 5.1 and for dy Trial Act (based on the
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DATED: April 11,2623	_	9/11	
		DONNA M. RYU United States Magistra	ate Judge
STIPULATED:		MR	
Attorney for Defe	ndant	Assistant United State	s Attorney